

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/734,953	12/12/2003	Larry D. Brown	HENTE-088A	1455		
7663	7590 08/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	BRUNDA GARRED &	LE, T	LE, TAN			
75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3632			
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/734,953	BROWN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tan Le	3632		

	Tan Le	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		•			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		2111011121211101	1225 11111111		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 July 2006</u> . A brief in	compliance with 37 CER 41 37 m	ust he filed within two	months of the		
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repliaments	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			-		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	•			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		15 6	(DTOL 004)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Caralia Clark annual dan			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 7-9 and 24.		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,15,17-20,22,25-33,51-64,67 and 6	<u>3</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A landa and a state of Citizen and	- C C A L SU			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s)			
1 AND					
Ve		Child	,		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 has further added that each of these inclined surfaces having "an exterior side" (line 8 for example) raises a new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.